Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/524,508	BELFORT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph W. Drodge	1797
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Joseph W. Drodge.	(3)	
(2) Michael Goldman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 July 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes if Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.4.7.9.10.20.30.31.38.40.42.45.50 and 57.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>An Examiner Proposed Examiners Amendment was sufficified to amend the above</u> claims to mitigace problems as U.S.C. 112, 2° encargab.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, IGE MIPEP Section 7130. HI arely to the last Office section has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DIATE. OR THE MAIL MOD GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements or reverse side or on attached sheet.		